

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11 ELIZABETH QUIROGA CARRERO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14  
15 INTERSTATE MANAGEMENT COMPANY  
LLC,

16 Defendant.

17 Case No. 1:24-cv-01077-JLT-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO SHOW  
CAUSE IN WRITING WHY MONETARY  
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT ISSUE FOR  
FAILURE TO FILE JOINT SCHEDULING  
REPORT

ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE TO MARCH 11, 2025

**JANUARY 29, 2025 DEADLINE**

18 The scheduling conference was originally set in this matter for December 17, 2024.

19 (ECF No. 29.) On December 10, 2024, the parties timely filed a joint statement explaining that  
20 the parties were actively engaged in settlement discussions. (ECF No. 30.) The parties proffered  
21 that if the cases were not settled, they anticipated filing a stipulation to consolidate the action  
22 with the related matter. The parties therefore requested that the Court continue the scheduling  
23 conference to mid-January. Pursuant to the parties' request, the Court continued the scheduling  
24 conference to January 28, 2025 and ordered that the parties file a joint scheduling report one  
25 week prior to the scheduling conference, or no later than January 21, 2025. (ECF No. 31.) The  
26 parties failed to file a joint report by the deadline.

27 Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these  
28 Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all

1 sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to  
2 control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate,  
3 including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir.  
4 2000).

5 The Court shall require the parties to show cause why monetary sanctions should not  
6 issue for the failure to file a joint report in compliance with the Court’s December 11, 2024  
7 order. The Court shall also continue the mandatory scheduling conference.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The parties shall show cause in writing no later than **January 29, 2025** why  
10 monetary sanctions should not issue for the failure to file a joint scheduling report  
11 as required by the December 11, 2024 order (ECF No. 31). The parties are  
12 advised that filing a joint scheduling report without showing cause in writing why  
13 sanctions should not issue is an insufficient response to this order; and  
14 2. The initial scheduling conference is CONTINUED to **March 11, 2025** at **9:30**  
15 **a.m., in Courtroom 9** and the parties shall file a joint scheduling report at least  
16 **seven (7) days** prior to the scheduling conference; and  
17 3. Failure to comply with this order may result in the issuance of sanctions, up to  
18 and including dismissal of this action.

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: January 22, 2025



---

22 STANLEY A. BOONE  
23 United States Magistrate Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28